Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

· 1

# ORDINANCE 122497

AN ORDINANCE relating to quasi-judicial decisions made by the City Council; amending sections 20.04.090, 23.34.004, 23.69.032, 23.76.004, 23.76.005, 23.76.036, 23.76.040, 23.76.050, 23.76.052, 23.76.054, 23.76.056, 23.76.058, 23.76.060 and 23.84A.030.

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Subsection D1 of Section 20.04.090 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 118409, is amended as follows:

### 20.04.090 Final Assessment Roll - Hearing - Conduct.

\* \* \*

D.1. An appeal pursuant to subsection C of this section may be filed only by a party who timely perfected a protest at the initial hearing. The notice of appeal shall, in addition to requirements as to content specified elsewhere in this chapter, state clearly on the cover or cover page the number of the local improvement district and the appellant's name and shall be filed with the City Clerk no later than the <u>fourteenth (14<sup>th</sup>) ((fifteenth))</u> day after the day upon which the report and recommendation of the Hearing Examiner or other officer is filed with the City Clerk.

\* \* \*

Section 2. Subsection A of Section 23.34.004 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 112522, is amended as follows:

#### 23.34.004 Contract rezones.

A. Property Use and Development Agreement (PUDA). The Council may approve a map amendment subject to the execution, delivery and recording of an agreement executed by the legal or beneficial owner of the property to be rezoned to self-imposed restrictions upon the use and development of the property in order to ameliorate adverse impacts ((which)) that could occur from unrestricted use and development permitted ((in the zone)) by development regulations otherwise applicable after the rezone. All restrictions shall be directly related to the impacts ((which)) that may be expected to result from the amendment. A rezone shall be



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

conditioned on performance or compliance with the terms and conditions of the property use and development agreement. Council may revoke a contract rezone or take other appropriate action allowed by law for failure to comply with a PUDA. The agreement((s)) shall be approved as to form by the City Attorney, and shall not be construed as a relinquishment by the City of its discretionary powers.

\* \* \*

Section 3. Subsection I of Section 23.69.032 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121477, is amended as follows:

23.69.032 Master plan process.

\* \* \*

- I. Council Consideration of the Hearing Examiner's Recommendation.
- 1. The Council shall review and consider the Hearing Examiner's recommendation as provided in Section 23.76.054, Council consideration of Hearing Examiner recommendation. The goal of the Council shall be to take final action on the Hearing Examiner's recommendation no later than three (3) months after the date it receives the recommendation.
- 2. If the Council examines the proposed master plan and all recommendations for changes, alternatives, mitigating measures and conditions, and determines that a significant master plan element ((or environmental issue)) was not adequately addressed by the proposed master plan, the Council may remand the master plan for submission of additional information and/or new proposal(s) on the issue determined to be inadequately addressed, in a time frame specified in the remand ((request the institution to prepare new proposals on the issue identified, may request the Director to conduct further analysis or provide clarification, and may request the Advisory Committee to convene for the limited purpose of commenting on the new proposals)). The institution shall submit the additional information and/or new proposals to the Advisory Committee, to the parties of record to the Council decision to remand, and to the Director. The Advisory Committee shall prepare and submit comments and a report to the Director. The Director shall submit a report and recommendation on the additional information and/or new



	Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11
1	proposal(s) to the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner shall consider the additional
2	information and/or new proposal(s) and submit a recommendation to Council pursuant to
3	subsection 23.69.32H above.((The new proposals shall also be submitted to the Director,
4	Advisory Committee and parties of record for comment. After the new proposals and comments
5	have been received, the Council may:
6	a. Remand the new proposals and Advisory Committee comments and
7	recommendations to the Director for further consideration and report; or
8	b. Direct the Hearing Examiner to conduct another hearing and to reconsider the
9	recommendation-based-on-the-new-proposals, the Advisory Committee comments
10	and recommendation, and/or any comments pertaining to the limited issues which
11	were presented by other parties of record; or
12	c. Open the record for a hearing on the new proposals, the Advisory-Committee
13	comments and recommendation, and any comments pertaining to the limited
14	issues which-were presented by other parties of record.))
15	* * *
16	Section 4. Exhibit 23.76.004 A Land Use Decision Framework, which section was last
17	amended by Ordinance 121828, is amended as shown in Attachment A.
18	
19	Section 5. Subsection C of Section 23.76.005 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which
20	section was last amended by Ordinance 120857, is amended as follows:
21	23.76.005 Time for decisions.
22	* * *
23	C. Exclusions Pursuant to RCW 36.70B.140(1).
24	1. Type II decisions. There ((shall be)) is no time limit for a decision on an application for
25	an exception from the regulations for Environmentally Critical Areas, SMC Chapter
26	25.09.
27	2. Type III decisions



- a. The Director shall issue ((his-or-her)) a recommendation within one hundred twenty (120) days as that time is calculated pursuant to subsection A of this section; and
- b. The Hearing Examiner shall issue ((his or her)) <u>a</u> decision within ninety (90) days of issuance of the Director's recommendation.
- 3. Type IV decisions.
  - a. There <u>is</u> ((shall-be)) no time limit for decisions on Major Institution master plans.
  - b. All other Type IV Council land use decisions and any associated Type II decisions listed in Section 23.76.006C2, except for the exclusion listed in subsection C1 of this section, shall be made within the following time periods:
    - (1) The Director shall issue ((his or her)) a recommendation within one hundred twenty (120) days as that time period is calculated pursuant to subsection A of this section;
    - (2) The Hearing Examiner shall issue ((his or her)) a decision within ninety (90) days of issuance of the Director's recommendation; and
    - (3) The Council shall issue its decision within ninety (90) days of receipt of the Hearing Examiner recommendation, except that if a timely appeal is filed with the City Clerk, the Council shall issue its decision within one hundred-twenty (120) days of receipt of the Hearing Examiner recommendation.
- 4. Any application for a land use decision that the Hearing Examiner or Council remands for further information or analysis shall be excluded from the time periods of subsection A of this section for the period of the remand. The Hearing Examiner or the Council shall set a reasonable period for the remand after consideration of the nature and complexity of the issues, and, when practicable, after consultation with the parties about the reasonableness of the remand period.

\* \* \*



1	ı
	Ketil Free Q-J code t August 20 Version 1
	amende 23.76.0
	any inte

Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

Section 6. Section 23.76.036 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:

### 23.76.036 Council decisions required.

#### A. Quasi-judicial decisions

1. The Council shall make the following Type IV Council land use decisions, including any integrated decisions to approve, condition or deny based on SEPA Policies, and any associated Type II decisions listed in Section 23.76.006C2:

((+))a. Amendments to the Official Land Use Map, including changes in overlay districts and shoreline environment redesignations, except ((those initiated by the City)) area-wide amendments, and except ((boundary)) adjustments ((caused by the acquisition, merger or consolidation of two (2) Major-Institutions)) pursuant to Section 23.69.023:

- ((2))<u>b.</u> Public projects ((proposed by applicants other than The City of Seattle)) that require Council approval;
- ((3))c. Major Institution master plans, including major amendments as defined in Section 23.69.035 and renewal of a master plan's development plan component pursuant to Section 23.69.036 (((supplemental procedures for master plans are established in SMC-Chapter 23.69)); ((and))
- ((4))d. Council conditional uses ((-)); and
- e. Major amendments to Property Use and Development Agreements pursuant to Section 23.76.058.
- 2. The Council shall also make the following quasi-judicial decisions:
  - a. Minor amendments to Property Use and Development Agreements; and
    b. Extensions of Type IV land use decisions pursuant to Section 23.76.060.
- B. Council action ((shall-be)) is required for the following Type V land use decisions:
- 1. ((City-initiated)) Area-wide amendments to the Official Land Use Map;
- 2. Amendments to the text of ((SMC)) Title 23, Land Use Code;



:	Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11
1	3. Concept approval for the location or expansion of City facilities requiring Council land
2	use approval by ((SMC)) Title 23, Land Use Code;
3	4. Major Institution designations and revocations of Major Institution designations;
4	5. ((Waive)) Waiver or ((modify)) modification of development standards for City
5	facilities;
6	6. Planned action ordinances; and
7	7. Corrections of errors on the official Land Use Map due to cartographic and clerical
8	mistakes.
9	
10	Section 7. Subsection B of Section 23.76.040 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which
11	section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:
12	23.76.040 Applications for Council land use decisions.
13	* * *
14	B. All applications for Council land use decisions shall be made to the Director on a
15	form provided by the Department. The Director shall ((promptly transmit notice of applications
16	for-Council-land-use decisions-to-the-City-Clerk-for-filing with the Council.)):
17	,
18	1. for Council land use decisions that do not include a design review component
19	and are not applications for Major Institution Master Plans, transmit notice of the application to
20	the City Clerk for filing with the City Council promptly after the application is first submitted.
21	
22   23	2. for Council land use decisions that include a design review component:
24	a. For applications subject to design review by the Design Review Board,
25	transmit notice of the early design guidance public meeting to the City Clerk for filing with the
26	City Council promptly at the same time public notice is provided.
27	Stry Council promptly at the same time public honce is provided.



	Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11
1	b. For applications subject to administrative design review, transmit notice
2	of the application to the City Clerk for filing with the City Council promptly after the applicant
3	applies to begin the early design guidance process.
4	
5	3. for applications for Major Institution Master Plans, transmit the notice of intent
6	to prepare a master plan to the City Clerk for filing with the City Council promptly after the
7	notice of intent is received.
8	
9	ተ ተ ተ ·
10	Section 8. Subsection D of Section 23.76.050 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which
11	section was last amended by Ordinance 121476, is amended as follows:
12	23.76.050 Report of the Director.
13	***
14	D. For Type IV Decisions, the Director's report shall be submitted to the Hearing
15	Examiner and filed with the City Clerk on the same date the notice of availability of the
16	Director's report is given pursuant to Section 23.76.052. The Director's report shall be made
17	available for public inspection at least twenty-one (21) days prior to the Hearing Examiner's oper
18	
19	record predecision public hearing described in Section 23.76.052.
20	
21	Section 9. Subsection C.1 of Section 23.76.052 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which
22	section was last amended by Ordinance 121477, is amended as follows:
23	23.76.052 Hearing Examiner open record predecision hearing and recommendation.
24	· ***
25	C. Notice.
26	1. The Director shall give notice of the Hearing Examiner's hearing, the Director's
27	environmental determination, and of the availability of the Director's report at least ((fifteen
28	(15))) twenty-one (21) days prior to the hearing by:

2 3 b. Publication in the City official newspaper;

4

5

c. Submission of the Land Use Information Bulletin to at least one (1) community newspaper in the area affected by the proposal;

6

abutting the site except, when there is no street frontage or the site abuts an

d. One (1) land use sign visible to the public posted at each street frontage

7

unimproved street, the Director shall either post more than one (1) sign and/or

8

select an alternative posting location so that notice is clearly visible to the public.

9

For hearings on Major Institution Master Plans, one (1) land use sign posted at

10

each street frontage abutting the site but not to exceed ten (10) land use signs;

11

e. Mailed notice; and

12

f. Posting in the Department.

13

14 15

Section 10. Section 23.76.054 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 118012, is amended as follows:

16

# 23.76.054 Council consideration of Hearing Examiner recommendation.

17 18

A. Any person substantially affected by or interested in the Hearing Examiner's recommendation regarding a Type IV land use decision may submit in writing an appeal of the

19

recommendation to the Council ((a request for further consideration of the recommendation))

20

and, if desired, a request to supplement the record. No appeals ((requests-for-further

21

eonsideration)) of a DNS or the determination that an EIS is adequate will be accepted.

22

B. Appeals of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((Requests for further

23 24 eonsideration)) shall be filed with the City Clerk ((Council)) by five (5:00) p.m. of the fourteenth (14th) calendar day following the date of issuance of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation.

25

When the last day of the request period so computed is a Saturday, Sunday or federal or City

26

holiday, the request period ((shall-run)) runs until five (5:00) p.m. on the next business day.

27



<u>D.</u> ((C)). After the City Clerk's ((Council)) receipt of an appeal, ((the request for further consideration, the)) Council staff shall mail a copy of the appeal ((request for further consideration)) and instructions for responding ((to the request)) to those persons ((individuals))

C. The appeal ((request)) shall clearly identify specific objections to the Hearing

Examiner's recommendation ((, facts missing from the record,)) and specify the relief sought.

- who were provided written notice of the Hearing Examiner's <u>recommendation</u> ((action. Such notice shall be mailed)) at least twenty-one (21) ((seven (7))) days prior to the date of the Council's public meeting to consider the <u>appeal</u> ((request for further consideration)).
- $\underline{E}((\Phi))$ . Council action shall be based on the record established by the Hearing Examiner. ((; provided, however, that if a request for further consideration includes a request to supplement the record, the)) The Council may supplement the record with new evidence or information if the Council determines that the new evidence or information was not available or could not reasonably have been produced at the time of the open record hearing before the Hearing Examiner. The Council may remand an application for a Type IV land use decision only when ((the)):
- 1. The Council has voted to supplement the record and has determined ((the Council determines that it is necessary for)) that the Director or the Hearing Examiner should ((to-receive the new evidence and)) reconsider the application in light of the new evidence ((it.)); or
- 2. For major institution master plans, the Council has determined that there is a need for additional information or new proposal(s) pursuant to 23.69.032.
  - F. The Council may allow oral or written arguments based on the record.
- Section 11. Subsections A, C and E of Section 23.76.056 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 120928, are amended as follows:
- 23.76.056 Council decision on Hearing Examiner Recommendation.
- A. The Council's decision to approve, approve with conditions, remand, or deny the application for a Type IV land use decision shall be based on applicable law and supported by



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11 rejected or modified.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

substantial evidence in the record established pursuant to ((SMC)) Section 23.76.054. An appellant bears the burden of proving that the Hearing Examiner's recommendation should be

C. To the extent such information is available to the Council, the decision should contain the name and address of the owner of the property at issue, of the applicant, and of each person who filed an appeal of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((a request for further consideration)) with the Council, unless such person abandoned the appeal ((request)) or such person's claims were dismissed before the hearing.

E. A copy of the Council's findings, conclusions and decision shall be transmitted to the City Clerk, who shall promptly send a copy to the Director and the Hearing Examiner, and ((shall)) promptly mail copies to all parties of record ((and-to-any-person-who-has-submitted substantive comments to the Director, Hearing Examiner or City Council on the proposal)). The Clerk's transmittal letter shall include official notice of the time and place for seeking judicial review. The Director shall be bound by and incorporate the terms and conditions of the Council's decision in permits issued to the applicant or on approved plans.

Section 12. Subsection B of Section 23.76.058 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:

23.76.058 Rules for specific decisions.

#### B. Contract Rezones

1. When a property use and development agreement is required as a condition to an amendment of the Official Land Use Map, the ((ordinance rezoning the property shall provide for acceptance of the agreement and shall not be passed by the Council until the agreement has been executed by the owner)) amendment shall not take effect until the later of (1) the effective date



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

of the ordinance approving the map amendment and accepting the property use and development agreement, as specified in the ordinance or pursuant to Section 1.04.020 or (2) the recording in the King County Recorder's Office of the agreement executed by the legal and beneficial owners. The ((executed)) agreement shall be recorded in the real property records of King County and filed with the City Clerk within thirty (30) ((one hundred twenty (120))) days ((of)) after adoption of the ordinance approving the map amendment and accepting the agreement.

2. Amendment of ((Contract Rezone)) Property Use and Development Agreements. ((Agreements required)) Property use and development agreements recorded as a condition to a map amendment((s)) may be amended by agreement between the owner and the City, provided ((the amended agreement)) that any such amendment shall be approved by the Council.

a. A request to amend shall be submitted to the Department of Planning and Development and filed with the City Clerk. Notice of a request to amend and opportunity to comment shall be provided in accordance with notice requirements of Section 23.76.012 B(1) or B(2), and B(3), and to the parties of record in the original rezone decision and to those persons who were provided written notice of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation in the original rezone decision.

b. The Director shall determine whether the requested amendment is major or minor.

(1) Minor amendments. A minor amendment is one that is ((Amendments which are)) within the spirit and general purpose of the prior decision of the Council, is generally consistent with the uses and development standards approved in the prior decision of the Council, would not result in significant adverse impacts that were not anticipated in the prior decision of the Council, and does not request any additional waivers or changes in the waivers of bulk or off-street parking and loading requirements other than those approved in the prior decision of the Council. If the Director determines that a proposed amendment is minor, the Director shall



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

transmit to Council the request to amend, the Director's determination that the request is minor, any comments received by the Director on the proposed amendment, the Director's environmental determination and recommendation on the amendment. A request to amend that is minor and that complies with the rezone criteria of Chapter 23.34 may be approved by the Council by ordinance after receiving any additional advice ((which)) that it deems necessary. ((Written notice and an opportunity to comment shall be provided by the Council at least-fourteen (14) days prior to Council consideration of the requested amendment to persons who submitted written or oral comments on the original rezone decision.

Amendments which in the judgment of the Council represent a major departure from the terms of the agreement))

(2) Major Amendments. Requests that are not minor are major. The

development agreement ((shall-not-be approved)) until the Council has

received a recommendation from the Hearing Examiner after a public

hearing held as provided for rezones in Section 23.76.052, Hearing

Examiner open record predecision hearing and recommendation.

\* \* \*

Section 13. Subsection A, B and D of Section 23.76.060 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, are amended as follows:

# 23.76.060 Expiration of land use approvals – Extensions.

A. Approvals Granted Under Title 24. Expiration of Council land use approvals granted under ((SMC)) Title 24, Zoning and Subdivisions, ((shall-be)) are governed by the applicable provisions of ((SMC-Title-24, Zoning-and-Subdivisions, and-SMC)) Section 23.04.010, Transition to the Land Use Code.

B. Contract Rezones, Council Conditional Uses and Public projects.



- 1. Contract rezones, Council conditional uses and public projects approved under Title 23 ((shall)) expire two (2) years from the effective date of approval unless:
  - a. Within the two (2) year period, an application is filed for a Master Use Permit, which permit is subsequently issued; or
  - b. Another time for expiration is specified in the Council's decision.
- 2. If a Master Use Permit is issued for the contract rezone, Council conditional use or public project, the Council's approval of the contract rezone, Council conditional use or public project, ((shall remain)) remains in effect until the Master Use Permit expires pursuant to the provisions of Section 23.76.032, or until the time specified by the Council, whichever is longer.
- 3. When a contract rezone expires, the Director shall file a certificate of expiration with the City Clerk and a notation shall be placed on the Official Land Use Map showing the reversion to the former classification.

- D. Extensions. The Council may extend the time limits on Type IV land use approvals for no more than two (2) years, upon an applicant's request for an extension filed with the City Clerk ((Council)) at least thirty (30) days before the approval's expiration. The Council may request a recommendation on the extension request from the Director, but the Hearing Examiner hearing and recommendation requirements of Section 23.76.052 do not apply. Notice for requests for extensions of Type IV land use decisions shall be provided pursuant to Sections 23.76.012 B(1) or B(2), and B(3).
- 1. The Council may not extend the time limits for a Type IV land use approval for a project that is not in conformance with applicable regulations, including land use and environmentally critical areas regulations, in effect at the time an extension is sought.
  - 2. In deciding whether to grant a request for an extension, the Council shall consider:
    - a. The reason or basis for the request for the extension and whether it is reasonable under the circumstances;
    - b. Whether changed circumstances in the area support an extension;



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

c. Whether additional time is reasonably necessary to comply with a condition of approval adopted by the Council that is required to be fulfilled prior to expiration of the land use approval.

\* \* \*

Section 14. Subsection P of Section 23.84A.030 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122311, is amended as follows:

#### 23.84A.030 Definitions "P."

\* \* \*

"Party of record" means any person ((, group, association or corporation that)) who files an appeal; a person granted party status through intervention; the City department making the decision or determination and any of its employees or agents; the owner(s) of the property subject to the quasi-judicial action; and the person who files an application for a permit or other type of development authorization that is the subject of the appeal.

\* \* \*



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11 Section 15. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020. Passed by the City Council the 17th day of September, 2007, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this 17 day of September, 2007. of the City Council Approved by me this 21 day of a Nickels, Mayor Gregory. Filed by me this 77 day of Seph 2007. (Seal) ATTACHMENT A: Amended Exhibit 23.76.004A 



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

Attachment A

## Exhibit 23.76.004A LAND USE DECISION FRAMEWORK

# DIRECTOR'S AND HEARING EXAMINER'S DECISIONS REQUIRING MASTER USE PERMITS

TYPE I Director's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)	TYPE II Director's Decision (Appealable to Hearing Examiner*)	TYPE III Hearing Examiner's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)		
Compliance with development standards	Temporary uses, more than four weeks	Subdivisions (preliminary plats)		
• Uses permitted outright	Variances			
<ul> <li>Temporary uses, four weeks or less</li> </ul>	Administrative conditional uses			
• Intermittent uses	Shoreline decisions     (*appealable to Shorelines)			
• Certain street uses	Hearings Board along with all related environmental			
• Lot boundary adjustments	appeals)			
<ul> <li>Modifications of features bonused under Title 24</li> </ul>	Short subdivisions			
	Special exceptions			
<ul> <li>Determinations of significance (EIS required) except for determinations</li> </ul>	Design review			
of significance based solely on historic and cultural	Light rail transit facilities			
preservation	Monorail transit facilities			
• Temporary uses, twelve months or less, for relocation of police and fire protection	• The following environmental determinations:			
• Exemptions from right-of- way improvement	1. Determination of nonsignificance (EIS not			



Version 11

1 2

## Exhibit 23.76.004A LAND USE DECISION FRAMEWORK

# DIRECTOR'S AND HEARING EXAMINER'S DECISIONS REQUIRING MASTER USE PERMITS

TYPE I Director's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)	TYPE II Director's Decision (Appealable to Hearing Examiner*)	TYPE III Hearing Examiner's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)	
requirements	required)		
Special accommodation	2. Determination of final EIS adequacy		
Reasonable accommodation	3. Determination of significance based solely on		
<ul> <li>Minor amendment to a Major Phased Development Permit</li> </ul>	historic and cultural preservation		
<ul> <li>Determination of public benefit for combined lot FAR</li> </ul>	4. A decision by the Director to approve, condition or deny a project based on SEPA Policies		
• Other Type 1 decisions that are identified as such in the Land Use code	5. A decision by the Director that a project is consistent with a Planned Action Ordinance and EIS (no threshold determination or EIS required)		
	<ul> <li>Major Phased Development</li> <li>Downtown Planned Community Developments</li> </ul>		



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions ORD v11.doc August 20, 2007 Version 11

1

2

3

4

56

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

# COUNCIL LAND USE DECISIONS

#### TYPE IV TYPE V (Quasi-Judicial - subject to Hearing (Legislative) **Examiner recommendation**) • Amendments to the Official Land • Land Use Code text amendments ((use))Use ((map))Map ((amendments)) (rezones), except area-wide amendments, • ((Rezones to implement new City and adjustments pursuant to Section Policies)) Area-wide amendments to the Official Land Use Map 23.69.023 • Public project approvals • Concept approval for City facilities • Major Institution master plans, including • Major Institution designations major amendments and renewal of a master plan's development plan component • ((Waive)) Waiver or ((modify)) modification of development standards for • Major amendments to Property Use and City facilities Development agreements • Planned Action Ordinance • Council conditional uses



Form revised December 4, 2006

### FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	DOF Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Rebecca Herzfeld	

#### Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE regarding the procedures for quasi-judicial decisions made by the City Council; amending sections 20.04.090, 23.34.004, 23.69.032, 23.76.004, 23.76.005, 23.76.036, 23.76.040, 23.76.050, 23.76.052, 23.76.054, 23.76.056, 23.76.058, 23,76.060, 23.84.030 and 23.84A.030.

#### • Summary of the Legislation:

The legislation amends existing provisions in the Seattle Municipal Code that govern code requirements, standards and criteria used by the Council to evaluate quasi-judicial land use actions and quasi-judicial appeals on local improvement district assessments.

• Background: (Include brief description of the purpose and context of legislation and include record of previous legislation and funding history, if applicable):

This Council-generated legislation is designed to implement changes to quasi-judicial decision-making code sections further supported through the Council's quasi-judicial rules. This legislation implements part of Council's work plan for 2007

- Please check one of the following:
- X This legislation does not have any financial implications. (Stop here and delete the remainder of this document prior to saving and printing.)

This legislation has financial implications. (Please complete all relevant sections that follow.)



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions Ord. V9 (clean).doc July 18, 2007

.25

AN ORDINANCE relating to quasi-judicial decisions made by the City Council; amending sections 20.04.090, 23.34.004, 23.69.032, 23.76.004, 23.76.005, 23.76.036, 23.76.040, 23.76.050, 23.76.052, 23.76.054, 23.76.056, 23.76.058, 23.76.060 and 23.844.030.

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Subsection D1 of Section 20.04.090 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 118409, is amended as follows:

#### 20.04.090 Final Assessment Roll - Hearing - Conduct.

D.1. An appeal pursuant to subsection C of this section may be filed only by a party who timely perfected a protest at the initial hearing. The notice of appeal shall, in addition to requirements as to content specified elsewhere in this chapter, state clearly on the cover or cover page the number of the local improvement district and the appellant's name and shall be filed with the City Clerk no later than the <u>fourteenth (14<sup>th</sup>) ((fifteenth))</u> day after the day upon which the report and recommendation of the Hearing Examiner or other officer is filed with the City Clerk.

Section 2. Subsection A of Section 23.34.004 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 1 2522, is amended as follows:

#### 23.34.004 Contract rezones.

A. Property Use and Development Agreement (PUDA). The Council may approve a map amendment subject to the execution delivery and recording of an agreement executed by all owners ((the legal or beneficial owner)) of the property to be rezoned to self-imposed restrictions upon the use and development of the property in order to ameliorate adverse impacts ((which)) that could occur from unrestricted use and development permitted ((in the zone)) by development regulations otherwise applicable after the rezone. All restrictions shall be directly related to the impacts ((which)) that may be expected to result from the amendment. If a rezone is conditioned on performance or compliance by the owner with the terms and conditions of the property use



and development agreement, then, unless otherwise stated in the ordinance approving the rezone, if any condition of the rezone is not satisfied, the rezone shall be void from the beginning, i.e., from the effective date of the ordinance that adopted the rezone and accepted the property use and development agreement. The agreements shall be approved as to form by the City Attorney, and shall not be construed as a relinquishment by the City of its discretionary powers.

Section 3. Subsection I of Section 23.69.032 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121477, is amended as follows:

23.69.032 Master plan process.

I. Council Consideration of the Hearing Examiner's Recommendation.

- 1. The Council shall review and consider the Hearing Examiner's recommendation as provided in Section 23.76.054, Council consideration of Hearing Examiner recommendation. The goal of the Council shall be to take final action on the Hearing Examiner's recommendation no later than three (3) months after the date it receives the recommendation.
- 2. If the Council examines the proposed master plan and all recommendations for changes, alternatives, mitigating measures and conditions, and determines that a significant master plan element ((or environmental issue)) was not adequately addressed by the proposed master plan, the Council may remand the master plan for submission of additional information and/or new proposal(s) on the issue determined to be inadequately addressed, in a time frame specified in the remand ((request the institution to prepare new proposals on the issue identified, may request the Director to conduct further analysis or provide clarification, and may request the Advisory Committee to convene for the limited purpose of commenting on the new proposals)). The institution shall submit the additional information and/or new proposals to the Advisory Committee, to the parties of record to the Council decision to remand, and to the Director. The Advisory Committee shall prepare and submit comments and a report to the Director. The

proposal(s) to the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner shall consider the additional information and/or new proposal(s) and submit a recommendation to Council pursuant to subsection 23.69.32H above.((The new proposals shall also be submitted to the Director,

> a. Remand the new proposals and Advisory Committee comments and recommendations to the Director for further consideration and report; or b. Direct the Hearing Examiner to conduct another hearing and to reconsider the recommendation based on the new proposals, the Advisory Committee comments and recommendation, and/or any comments pertaining to the limited issues which were presented by other parties of record; or e. Open-the record-for a hearing on the new proposals, the Advisory Committee comments and recommendation, and any comments pertaining to the limited issues which were presented by other parties of record.))

Section 4. Exhibit 23.76.004 A Land Use Decision Framework, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121828, is amended as shown in Attachment A.

Section 5. Subsection C of Section 23.76.005 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

section was last amended by Ordinance 120857, is amended as follows: 23.76.005 Time for decisions.

22

23

C. Exclusions Pursuant to RCW 36.70B.140(1).

24

25

1. Type II decisions. There ((shall be)) is no time limit for a decision on an application for an exception from the regulations for Environmentally Critical Areas, SMC Chapter

26

27

2. Type III decisions.

28

25.09.

a. The Director shall issue ((his or her)) a recommendation within one hundred twenty (120) days as that time is calculated pursuant to subsection A of this section; and

b. The Hearing Examiner shall issue ((his or her)) a decision within ninety (90) days of issuance of the Director's recommendation.

## 3. Type IV decisions.

- a. There <u>is</u> ((shall-be)) no time limit for decisions on Major Institution master plans.
- b. All other Type IV Council land use decisions and any associated Type II decisions listed in Section 23.76.006C2, except for the exclusion listed in subsection C1 of this section, shall be made within the following time periods:
  - (1) The Director shall issue ((his-or her)) a recommendation within one hundred twenty (120) days as that time period is calculated pursuant to subsection A of this section;
  - (2) The Hearing Examiner shall issue ((his or her)) a decision within ninety (90) days of issuance of the Director's recommendation; and
  - (3) The Council shall issue its decision within ninety (90) days of receipt of the Hearing Examiner recommendation, except that if a timely appeal is filed with the City Clerk, the Council shall issue its decision within one hundred-twenty (120) days of receipt of the Hearing Examiner recommendation.
- 4. Any application for a land use decision that the Hearing Examiner or Council remands for further information or analysis shall be excluded from the time periods of subsection A of this section for the period of the remand. The Hearing Examiner or the Council shall set a reasonable period for the remand after consideration of the nature and complexity of the issues, and, when practicable, after consultation with the parties about the reasonableness of the remand period.

\* \* \*



2

4

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

Section 6. Section 23.76.036 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:

### 23.76.036 Council decisions required.

### A. Quasi-judicial decisions

- 1. The Council shall make the following Type IV Council land use decisions, including any integrated decisions to approve, condition or deny based on SEPA Policies, and any associated Type II decisions listed in Section 23.76.006C2:
  - ((+))a. Amendments to the Official Land Use Map, including changes in overlay districts and shoreline environment redesignations, except ((those initiated by the City)) area-wide amendments, and except ((boundary)) adjustments ((eaused-by the acquisition, merger-or consolidation of two (2) Major-Institutions)) pursuant to Section 23.69.023;
  - ((2))<u>b.</u> Public projects ((proposed by applicants other than The City of Seattle)) that require Council approval;
  - ((3))c. Major Institution master plans, including major amendments as defined in Section 23.69.035 and renewal of a master plan's development plan component pursuant to Section 23.69.036 (((supplemental procedures for master plans are established in SMC Chapter 23.69))); ((and))
  - ((4))d. Council conditional uses ((-)); and
  - e. Major amendments to Property Use and Development Agreements pursuant to Section 23.76.058.
  - 2. The Council shall also make the following quasi-judicial decisions:
    - a. Minor amendments to Property Use and Development Agreements; and b. Extensions of Type IV land use decisions pursuant to Section 23.76.060.
  - B. Council action ((shall be)) is required for the following Type V land use decisions:
  - 1. ((City-initiated)) Area-wide amendments to the Official Land Use Map;
  - 2. Amendments to the text of ((SMC)) Title 23, Land Use Code;



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca	Herzfeld,	Michael	Jenkins,	Bob	Morgan
)-J code revisions Ord.	V9 (clean	).doc			
uly 18, 2007					

- 3. Concept approval for the location or expansion of City facilities requiring Council and use approval by ((SMC)) Title 23, Land Use Code;
- 4. Major Institution designations and revocations of Major Institution designations;
- 5. ((Waive)) Waiver or ((modify)) modification of development standards for City facilities;
- 6. Planned action ordinances; and
- 7. Corrections of errors on the official Land Use Map due to cartographic and clerical mistakes.
- Section 7. Subsection B of Section 23.76.040 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:
- 23.76.040 Applications for Council land use decisions.
- B. All applications for Council land use decisions shall be made to the Director on a form provided by the Department. ((The Director shall promptly transmit applications for Council land use decisions to the City Clerk for filing with the Council.))

Section 8. Subsection D of Section 23.76.050 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121476, is amended as follows:

23.76.050 Report of the Director.

D. For Type IV Decisions, the Director's report shall be submitted to the Hearing

Examiner and filed with the City Clerk on the same date the notice of availability of the

Director's report and of the Hearing Examiner hearing is given pursuant to Section 23.76.052.

The Director's Report shall be made available for public inspection at least twenty-one (21) days



	Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions Ord. V9 (clean).doc July 18, 2007
1	prior to the Hearing Examiner's open record predecision public hearing described in Section
2	23.76.052.
3	***
4	Section 9. Subsection C.1 of Section 23.76.052 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which
5	section was last amended by Ordinance 121477, is amended as follows:
6	23.76.052 Hearing Examiner open record predecision hearing and recommendation.
7	* * *
8	C. Notice.
9	1. The Director shall give notice of the Hearing Examiner's hearing, the Director's
10	environmental determination, and of the availability of the Director's report at least ((fifteen
11	(15))) twenty-one (21) days prior to the hearing by:
12	a. Land Use Information Bulletin;
13	b. Publication in the City official newspaper;
14	c. Submission of the Land Use Information Bulletin to at least one (1) community
15	newspaper in the area affected by the proposal;
16	d. One (1) land use sign visible to the public posted at each street frontage
17	abutting the site except, when there is no street frontage or the site abuts an
18	unimproved street, the Director shall either post more than one (1) sign and/or
19	select an alternative posting location so that notice is clearly visible to the public.
20	For hearings on Major Institution Master Plans, one (1) land use sign posted at
21	each street frontage abutting the site but not to exceed ten (10) land use signs;
22	e. Mailed notice; and
23	f. Posting in the Department.
24	***
25	Section 10. Section 23.76.054 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last
26	amended by Ordinance 118012, is amended as follows:
27	23.76.054/Council consideration of Hearing Examiner recommendation.
28	

A. Any person substantially affected by or interested in the Hearing Examiner's recommendation regarding a Type IV land use decision may submit in writing an appeal of the recommendation to the Council ((a request for further consideration of the recommendation)) and, if desired, a request to supplement the record. No appeals ((requests for further consideration)) of a DNS or the determination that an EIS is adequate will be accepted.

B. Appeals of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((Requests for further

B. Appeals of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((Requests for further consideration)) shall be filed with the City Clerk ((Council)) by five (5:00) p.m. of the fourteenth (14th) calendar day following the date of issuance of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation. When the last day of the request period so computed is a Saturday, Sunday or federal or City holiday, the request period ((shall-run)) runs until five (5:00) p.m. on the next business day.

<u>C</u>. The <u>appeal</u> ((request)) shall clearly identify specific objections to the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((, facts missing from the record,)) and <u>specify</u> the relief sought.

<u>D.</u> ((G)). After the City Clerk's ((Council)) receipt of an appeal, ((the request for further eonsideration, the)) Council staff shall mail a copy of the appeal ((request for further eonsideration)) and instructions for responding ((to the request)) to those persons ((individuals)) who were provided written notice of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((action. Such notice shall be mailed)) at least twenty-one (21) ((seven (7))) days prior to the date of the Council's public meeting to consider the appeal ((request for further consideration)).

 $\underline{E}((D))$ . Council action shall be based on the record established by the Hearing Examiner. ((; provided, however, that if a request for further consideration includes a request to supplement the record, the)) The Council may supplement the record with new evidence or information if the Council determines that the new evidence or information was not available or could not reasonably have been produced at the time of the open record hearing before the Hearing Examiner. The Council may remand an application for a Type IV land use decision only when ((the)):

1. The Council has voted to supplement the record and has determined ((the Council determines that it is necessary for)) that the Director or the Hearing Examiner should ((to receive the new evidence and)) reconsider the application in light of the new evidence ((it.)); or

2. For major institution master plans, the Council has determined that there is a need for additional information or new proposal(s) pursuant to 23.69.032.

<u>F.</u> The Council may allow oral or written arguments based on the record.

Section 11. Subsections A, C and E of Section 23.76.056 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 120928, are amended as follows:

23.76.056 Council decision on Hearing Examiner Recommendation.

A. The Council's decision to approve, approve with conditions, remand, or deny the application for a Type IV land use decision shall be based on <u>substantial evidence in</u> the record established pursuant to ((SMC)) Section 23.76.054.

C. To the extent such information is available to the Council, the decision should contain the name and address of the owner of the property at issue, of the applicant, and of each person who filed an appeal of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((a request for further eonsideration)) with the Council, unless such person abandoned the appeal ((request)) or such person's claims were dismissed before the hearing.

E. A copy of the Council's findings, conclusions and decision shall be transmitted to the City Clerk, who shall promptly send a copy to the Director and the Hearing Examiner, and ((shall)) promptly mail copies to all parties of record ((and to any person who has submitted substantive comments to the Director, Hearing Examiner or City Council on the proposal)). The Clerk's transmittal letter shall include official notice of the time and place for seeking judicial review. The Director shall be bound by and incorporate the terms and conditions of the Council's decision in permits issued to the applicant or on approved plans.



 Section 12. Subsection B of Section 23.76.058 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:

23.76.058 Rules for specific decisions.

B. Contract Rezones

1. When a property use and development agreement is required as a condition to an amendment of the Official Land Use Map, the ((ordinance rezoning the property shall provide for acceptance of the agreement and shall not be passed by the Council until the agreement has been executed by the owner)) amendment shall not take effect until the later of (1) the effective date of the ordinance approving the map amendment and accepting the property use and development agreement, as specified in the ordinance or pursuant to Section 1.04.020 or (2) the recording in the King County Recorder's Office of the agreement executed by all owners and other parties with interests in or liens on the property whose signatures are reasonably necessary to assure that the parties potentially affected by the restrictions in the property use and development agreement have consented to be bound by it. The ((executed)) agreement shall be recorded in the real property records of King County and filed with the City Clerk within thirty (30) ((one hundred twenty (120))) days ((of)) after adoption of the ordinance approving the map amendment and accepting the agreement.

2. Amendment of ((Contract Rezone)) Property Use and Development Agreements.

((Agreements required)) Property use and development agreements recorded as a condition to a map amendment((s)) may be amended by agreement between the owner and the City, provided ((the amended agreement)) that any such amendment shall be approved by the Council.

a. A request to amend shall be submitted to the Department of Planning and Development and filed with the City Clerk. Notice of a request to amend and opportunity to comment shall be provided in accordance with notice requirements of Section 23.76.012 B(1) or B(2), and B(3), and to the parties of record in the



26

27

28

original rezone decision and to those persons who were provided written notice of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation in the original rezone decision.

b. The Director shall determine whether the requested amendment is major or minor.

(1) Minor amendments. A minor amendment is one that is ((Amendments which are)) within the spirit and general purpose of the prior decision of the Council, is generally consistent with the uses and development standards approved in the prior decision of the Council, would not result in significant adverse impacts that were not anticipated in the prior decision of the Council, and does not request any additional waivers or changes in the waivers of bulk or off-street parking and loading requirements other than those approved in the prior decision of the Council. If the Director determines that a proposed amendment is minor, the Director shall transmit to Council the request to amend, the Director's determination that the request is minor, any comments received by the Director on the proposed amendment, the Director's environmental determination and recommendation on the amendment. A request to amend that is minor and that complies with the rezone criteria of Chapter 23.34 may be approved by the Council by ordinance after receiving any additional advice ((which)) that it deems necessary. ((Written notice and an opportunity to comment shall be provided by the Council at least-fourteen (14) days-prior to Council consideration of the requested amendment to persons who submitted-written-or-oral comments on the original rezone decision. Amendments which in the judgment of the Council represent a major departure from the terms of the agreement))

(2) Major Amendments. Requests that are not minor are major. The Council shall not approve a major amendment to a property use and



Ż

Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions Ord. V9 (clean).doc July 18, 2007

development agreement ((shall-not-be approved)) until the Council has received a recommendation from the Hearing Examiner after a public hearing held as provided for rezones in Section 23.76.052, Hearing Examiner open record predecision hearing and recommendation.

\* \* \*

Section 13. Subsection A, B and D of Section 23.76.060 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, are amended as follows:

## 23.76.060 Expiration of land use approvals - Extensions.

A. Approvals Granted Under Title 24. Expiration of Council land use approvals granted under ((SMC)) Title 24, Zoning and Subdivisions, ((shall be)) are governed by the applicable provisions of ((SMC Title 24, Zoning and Subdivisions, and SMC)) Section 23.04.010, Transition to the Land Use Code.

- B. Contract Rezones, Council Conditional Uses, <u>Major Institution master plans</u> and Public projects.
- 1. Contract rezones, Council conditional uses, <u>Major Institution master plans</u> and public projects approved under Title 23 ((shall)) expire two (2) years from the effective date of approval unless:
  - a. Within the two (2) year period, an application is filed for a Master Use Permit, which permit is subsequently issued; or
  - b. Another time for expiration is specified in the Council's decision.
- 2. If a Master Use Permit is issued for the contract rezone, Council conditional use, Major Institution master plan, or public project, the Council's approval of the contract rezone, Council conditional use or public project, ((shall remain)) remains in effect until the Master Use Permit expires pursuant to the provisions of Section 23.76.032, or until the time specified by the Council, whichever is longer.



CITY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions Ord. V9 (clean).doc July 18, 2007 "Party of record" means any person ((<del>, group, association or corporation that</del>)) who files 1 2 an appeal; a person granted party status through intervention; the City department making the decision or determination and any of its employees or agents; the owner(s) of the property 3 subject to the quasi-judicial action; and the person who files an application for a permit or other 4 type of development authorization that is the subject of the appeal. 5 6 Section 15. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and 7 after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) 8 9 days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020. 10 Passed by the City Council the day of / , 2007, and signed by me in open 11 session in authentication of its passage this day of , 2007. 12 13 14 President of the City Council 15 16 Approved by me this day of 2007. 17 18 Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor 19 20 Filed by me this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007. 21 City Clerk 22 (Seal) 23 24 25 ATTACHMENT A: Amended Exhibit 23.76.004A 26 27 28



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions Ord. V9 (clean).doc July 18, 2007

Attachment A

## Exhibit 23.76.004A LAND USE DECISION FRAMEWORK

# DIRECTOR'S AND HEARING EXAMINER'S DECISIONS REQUIRING MASTER USE PERMITS

TYPE I Director's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)	TYPE II Director's Decision (Appealable to Hearing Examiner*)	TYPE III Hearing Examiner's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)		
Compliance with development standards	Temporary uses, more than four weeks	Subdivisions (preliminary plats)		
Uses permitted outright	Variances			
Temporary uses, four weeks or less	Administrative conditional uses			
Intermittent uses	• Shoreline decisions			
Certain street uses	(*appealable to Shorelines Hearings Board along with all related environmental			
Lot boundary adjustments	appeals)/			
Modifications of features bonused under Title 24	Short subdivisions			
Determinations of significance (EIS required) except for determinations of significance based solely	<ul> <li>Special exceptions</li> <li>Design review</li> <li>Light rail transit facilities</li> </ul>			
on historic and cultural preservation	• Monorail transit facilities			
Temporary uses, twelve months or less, for relocation of police and fire protection	The following environmental determinations:			
• Exemptions from right-of-	1. Determination of			



Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions Ord. V9 (clean).doc July 18, 2007

1

2

## Exhibit 23.76.004A LAND USE DECISION FRAMEWORK

# **DIRECTOR'S AND HEARING EXAMINER'S**

TYPE I Director's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)	TYPE II Director's Decision (Appealable to Hearing Examiner*)	TYPE III Hearing Examiner's Decision (No Administrative Appeal)
way improvement requirements	nonsignificance (EIS not required)	
Special accommodation     Reasonable	2. Determination of final EIS adequacy 3. Determination of	
accommodation     Minor amendment to a	significance based solely on historic and cultural	
Major Phased Development   Permit	preservation  4. A decision by the	
<ul> <li>Determination of public benefit for combined lot FAR</li> </ul>	Director to approve, condition or denya project based on SEPA/Policies	,
• Other Type 1 decisions that are identified as such in the	5. A decision by the Director that a project is consistent with a Planned	
Land Use code	Action Ordinance and EIS (no threshold determination or EIS required)	
	<ul> <li>Major Phased Development</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Downtown Planned Community Developments</li> </ul>	
	<u> </u>	



27

Ketil Freeman, Rebecca Herzfeld, Michael Jenkins, Bob Morgan Q-J code revisions Ord. V9 (clean).doc July 18, 2007 1 2 3 COUNCIL LAND USE DECISIONS 4 TYPE IV TYPE V 5 (Quasi-Judicial – subject to Hearing (Legislative) 6 Examiner recommendation) • Land Use Code text amendments · • Amendments to the Official Land 7 ((use))Use ((map))Map ((amendments)) 8 (rezones), except area-wide amendments, • ((Rezones to implement new City Policies)) Area-wide amendments to the and adjustments pursuant to Section 9 23.69.023 Official Land Use Map 10 • Public project approvals • Concept approval for City facilities 11 • Major Institution designations • Major Institution master plans, including 12 major amendments and renewal of a master 13 plan's development plan component • ((Waive)) Waiver or ((modify)) modification of development standards for 14 • Major amendments to Property Use and City/facilities Development agreements 15 • Planned Action Ordinance 16 • Council conditional uses 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24



25

26

27

## STATE OF WASHINGTON - KING COUNTY

--SS

216021

CITY OF SEATTLE, CLERKS OFFICE

No.

#### Affidavit of Publication

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

CT:ORDINANCE 122497

was published on

10/02/07

The amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of \$ 906.75, which amount

has been paid in full.

Subscribed and sword to before me on

10/02/07

Notary public for the State of Washington,

residing in Scattle

# City of Seattle

#### ORDINANCE 122497

AN ORDINANCE relating to quasi-judicial decisions made by the City Council;

amending sections 20.04.090, 23.34.004, 23.69.032, 23.76.004, 23.76.005, 23.76.036, 23.76.040, 23.76.056, 23.76.056, 23.76.058, 23.76.058, 23.76.058, 23.76.058 23.76.056, 23.84A.030.

# BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Subsection D1 of Section 20.04.090 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 118409, is amended as follows:

20.04.090 Final Assessment Roll -Hearing - Conduct.

D.1. An appeal pursuant to subsection C of this section may be filed only by a party who timely perfected a protest at the initial hearing. The notice of appeal shall, in addition to requirements as to content specified elsewhere in this chapter, state clearly on the cover or cover page the number of the local improvement district and the appellant's name and shall be filed with the City Clerk no later than the fourteenth (14th ((fifteenth)) day after the day upon which the report and recommendation of the Hearing Examiner or other officer is filed with the City Clerk.

Section 2. Subsection A of Section. 23.34.004 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 112522, is amended as follows:

#### 23.34.004 Contract rezones.

23.34.004 Contract rezones.

A. Property Use and Development Agreement (PUDA). The Council may approve a map amendment subject to the secution, delivery and recording of an agreement agactised by the legal or beneficial owner of the property to be rezoned to self-imposed restrictions upon the use and development of the property in order to ameliorate adverse impacts ((which)) that could occur from unrestricted use and development permitted ((in the zone)) by development regulations otherwise applicable after the rezone. All restrictions shall be directly related to the impacts ((which)) that may be expected to result from the amendment. A rezone shall be conditioned on performance or compliance with the terms and conditions of the property use and development agreement. Council may revoke a contract rezone or take other appropriate action allowed by law for failure to comply with a PUDA. The agreement ((e)) shall be approved as to form by the City Attorney, and shall not be construed as a relinquishment by the City of its discretionary powers.

Section 3. Subsection I of Section 23.69.032 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121477, is amended as follows:

### 23.69.032 Master plan process.

I. Council Consideration of the Hearing, Examiner's Recommendation.

1. The Council shall review and consider 1. The Council shall review and consider the Hearing Examiner's recommendation as, provided in Section 23.78.054. Council consideration of Hearing Examiner recommendation. The goal of the Council shall be to take final action on the Hearing Examiner's recommendation no later than three (3) months after the date it receives the recommendation.

# State of Washington, King County

- 2. If the Council examines the proposed master plan and all recommendations for changes, alternatives, mitigating measures and conditions, and determines that a significant master plan element (for environmental issue) was not adequately addressed by the proposed master plan, the Council may remand the master plan for submission of additional information and/or new proposal(s) on the issue determined to be inadequately addressed, in a time frame specified in the remand (frequest the institution to prepare new proposals on the issue identified, may request the Advisory Committee to convene for the limited purpose of commenting on the new proposals). The institution shall submit the additional information and/or new proposals to the Advisory Committee, to the parties of record to the Council decision to remand, and to the Director. The Advisory Committee shall prepare and submit comments and a report to the Director. The Director shall submit a report and recommendation on the additional information and/or new proposals) to the Idearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner shall consider the additional information and/or new proposals and submit a recommendation to Council pursuant to subsection 23.69.32H above. (The new proposals and comments that have been received, the Council may: the new proposals and comments have been received, the Council may:
- a. Remand the new proposals and Advisory Committee comments and recom-mendations to the Director for further conaideration and report; or
- b. Direct the Hearing Examiner to duct another hearing and to reconsider the recommendation based on the new proposals, the Advisory Committee comments and mendation, and/or any comments pertaining to the limited issues which were pre-sented by other parties of record; or
- c. Open the record for a hearing on the new proposals, the Advisory Committee comments and recommendation, and any comments pertaining to the limited issues which were presented by other parties of record.))

\*\*\*

Section 4. Exhibit 23.76.004 A Land Use Decision Framework, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121828, is amended as shown in Attachment A.

Section 5. Subsection C of Section 23.76.005 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 120857, is a mended as follows:

#### 23.76,005 Time for decisions.

- Exclusions Pursuant to RCW 36.70B.140(1).
- 1. Type II decisions. There ((shall-be)) is no time limit for a decision on an appli-cation for an exception from the regulations for Environmentally Critical Areas, SMC Chapter 25.09.
  - 2. Type III decisions.
- a. The Director shall issue ((his or her)) a recommendation within one hundred twenty (120) days as that time is calculated pursu-ant to subsection A of this section; and

- b. The Hearing Examiner shall issue ((his or her)) a decision within ninety (90) days of issuance of the Director's recommendation.
  - 3. Type IV decisions.
- a. There is ((shall-be)) no time limit for decisions on Major Institution master plans.
- b. All other Type IV Council land use decisions and any associated Type II decisions listed in Section 23.76.006C2, except for the exclusion listed in subsection C1 of this section, shall be made within the following time periods:
- (1) The Director shall issue ((his or her)) a recommendation within one hundred twenty (120) days as that time period is calculated pursuant to subsection A of this section;
- (2) The Hearing Examiner shall issue ((his or her)) a decision within ninety (90) days of issuance of the Director's recommen-
- (3) The Council shall issue its decision within ninety (90) days of receipt of the Hearing Examiner recommendation, except that if a timely appeal is filed with the City Clerk, the Council shall issue its decision within one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of the Hearing Examiner recommendation.
- 4. Any application for a land use deci-4. Any application for a land use decision that the Hearing Examiner or Councilvemends for further information or analysis shall be excluded from the time periods of subsection A of this section for the period of the remand. The Hearing Examiner or the Jeouncil shall set a reasonable period for the remand after consideration of the nature and complexity of the issues, and, when practicable, after consultation with the parties about the reasonableness of the remand period.

Section 6. Section 23.76.036 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:

#### 23.76.036 Council decisions required.

#### A. Quasi-judicial decisions

- 1. The Council shall make the following Type IV Council land use decisions, including any integrated decisions to approve, condition or deny based on SEPA Policies, and any associated Type II decisions listed in Section 23.76.006C2:
- ((1))a. Amendments to the Official Land ((4))a. Amendments to the Ufficial Land Use Map, including changes in overlay districts and shoreline environment redesignations, except ((those initiated by the Gity)) area wide amendments, and except ((boundary)) adjustments ((caused by the acquisition, merger or consolidation of two (2) Major Institutions)) pursuant to Section 22 50 622 23.69.023:
- ((2))h. Public projects ((proposed by appli-cants other than The City of Seattle)) that require Council approval;
- ((8))c. Major Institution master plans, including major amendments as defined in Section 23.69.035 and renewal of a master plane development plan component pursuant to Section 23.69.036 ((templemental proce-

dures for master plans are established in GMO Chapter 28.68))); ((and))

Page 2 of affidavit

((4))d. Council conditional uses ((:)); and

- e. Major amendments to Property Use, and Development Agreements pursuant to Section 23,76,058. Major amendments to Property Use
- 2. The Council shall also make the follow-ing quasi-judicial decisions:
- a. Minor amendments to Property Use and Development Agreements; and
- b. Extensions of Type IV land use deci-sions pursuant to Section 23,76,060.
- B. Council action ((shall be)) is required for the following Type V land use decisions:
- 1. ((Gity-initiated)) Area-wide amend-ments to the Official Land Use Map;
- 2. Amendments to the text of ((SMC))
  Title 23, Land Use Code;
- Concept approval for the location or expansion of City facilities requiring Council land use approval by ((SMC)) Title 23, Land Use Code;
- 4. Major Institution designations and revocations of Major Institution designations:
- ((Waive)) Waiver or ((modify)) modification of development standards for City facilities;
  - 6. Planned action ordinances; and
- Corrections of errors on the official Land Use Map due to cartographic and cleri-cal mistakes.
- Section 7. Subsection B of Section 23.76.040 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:
- 23,78,040 Applications for Council land use decisions.
- B. All applications for Council land use decisions shall be made to the Director on a form provided by the Department. The Director shall ((promptly transmit notice of applications for Council land use decisions to the City Clerk for filing with the Council));
- 1, for Council land use decisions that do not include a design review component and are not applications for Major Institution Master Plans, transmit notice of the application to the City Clerk for filling with the City Council promptly after the application is first submitted. .
- 2, for Council land use decisions that include a design review component:
- a. For applications subject to design review by the Design Review Board, transmit notice of the early design guidance public meeting to the City Clerk for filing with the City Council promptly at the same time public notice is provided.
- b. For applications subject to administrative design review, transmit notice of the application to the City Clerk for filing with the City Council promptly after the applicant applies to begin the early design guidance process.
- 3. for applications for Major Institution Master Plans, transmit the notice of intent to prepare a master plan to the City Clerk for filing with the City Council promptly after the notice of intent is received.

Section 8. Subsection D of Section 23.76.050 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121476, is amended as follows:

#### 28,76.050 Report of the Director.

D. For Type IV Decisions, the Director's report shall be submitted to the Hearing Examiner and filed with the City Clerk on the same date the notice of availability of the Director's report is given pursuant to Section 23.76,052. The Director's report shall be made available for public inspection at least report of the Hearing (21) days prior to the Hearing (22) days prior to the Hearing (23) days prior to the Hearing (24) days prior to the Hearing (25) days pr twenty-one (21) days prior to the Hearing Examiner's open record predecision public hearing described in Segtion 23.76.052.

Section 9. Subsection C.1 of Section 23.76.052 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 121477, is a mended as follows:

23.76.052 Hearing Examiner open record predecision hearing and recommendation.

- C. Notice.

  1. The Director shall give notice of the Hearing Examinar's hearing, the Director's environmental determination, and of the availability of the Director's report at least ((fifteen (16)) twenty-one (21) days prior to the hearing by: ((fifteen (15))) tw the hearing by: H.R.
  - a. Land Use Information Bulletin;
- b. Publication in the City official news-V, paper:
- c. Submission of the Land Use Information Bulletin to at least one (1) community news-paper in the area affected by the proposal;
- paper in the area affected by the proposal;

  d. One (1) land use sign visible to the public posted at each street frontage abutting the site except, when there is no street frontage or the site abuts an unimproved street, the Director shall either best more than one (1) sign and/or select an alternative posting location so that notice is clearly visible to the public. For hearings on Major Institution Master Plans, one (1) land use sign posted at each street frontage abutting the site but not to exceed ten (10) land use signs;
  - e. Mailed notice; and f. Posting in the Dopartment.
- Section 10. Section 23.76.054 of the Section 10. Section 25.70.004 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 118012, is amended as follows: 1.4
- 23.76.054 Council consideration of Hearing Examiner.recommendation.
- A Any person substantially affected by or interested in the Hearing Examiner's recommendation regarding a Type IV land use decision may submit in writing an appeal of the recommendation to the Council ((a request for further consideration of the recommendation)) and, if desired, a request to supplement the record. No appeals ((requests for further consideration)) of a DNS or the determination that an EIS is adequate will be accepted.
- that an EIS is adequate will be accepted.

  B. Appeals of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation (Requests for further consideration) shall be filed with the City Clerk ((Council)) by five (5:00) p.m. of the fourteenth (14th) calendar day following the date of issuance of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation. When the last day of the request period so computed if a Saturday, Sunday or federal or City holiday, the request period ((shall-rum)) runs until five (5:00) p.m. on the next business day.

  C. The appeal ((request)) shall clearly identify specific objections to the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((7-facts missing from the record.)) and specify the relief sought.
- sought.

  D. ((G)). After the City Clerk's ((Gouncil))
  receipt of an appeal. ((the request for further consideration, the) Council staff shall mail a copy of the appeal ((request for further consideration)) and instructions for responding ((to the request)) to those persons ((individuals)) who were provided written notice of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation ((action-Such notice shall be mailed)) at least twenty-one (21) ((seven (7))) days prior to the date of the Council's public meeting to consider the appeal ((request for further consideration)). eration)).
- E((B)). Council action shall be based on the record established by the Hearing Examiner. ((; provided, however, that if a request for further consideration includes a request to supplement the record the). The Council may supplement the record with new evidence or information if the Council determines that the new evidence or information was not available or could not reasonably have been produced at the time of the open record hearing before the Hearing Examiner. The Council may remand an application for a Type IV land use decision only when ((the)):
- 1. The Council has voted to supplement the record and has determined ((the Council determines that it is necessary for)) that the Director or the Hearing Examiner should (to receive the new evidence and)) recon-((to receive the new evidence and)) reconsider the application in light of the new evi: dence ((it.)); or
- 2. For major institution master plans, the Council has determined that there is a need for additional information or new proposal(s) pursuant to 23.69.032.
- F. The Council may allow oral or written arguments based on the record.
- Section 11. Subsections A. C and E of Section 23.76.056 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 120928, are amended as follows:

23.76.056 Council decision on Hearing Examiner Recommendation

A. The Council's decision to approve, A. The Council's decision to approve, approve with conditions, remand, or deny the application for a Type IV land use decision shall be based on applicable law and supported by substantial evidence in the record established pursuant to ((SMC)) Section 23.76.054. An appellant bears the burden of proving that the Hearing Examiner's recommendation should be rejected or modified.

C. To the extent such information is available to the Council, the decision should con-

tain the name and address of the owner of the property at issue, of the applicant, and of each person who filed an appeal of the Hearing Examinar's recommendation (a request for further consideration) with the Council, unless such person abandoned the appeal (frequest) or such person's claims were dismissed before the hearing.

E. A copy of the Council's findings, conclusions and decision shall be transmitted to the City Clerk, who shall promptly send a copy to the Director and the Hearing Examiner, and ((shall)) promptly mail copies to all parties of record ((and to any person who has submitted substantive comments, to the Director, Hearing Examiner or City Council on the proposal)). The Clerk's transmittal letter shall include official notice of the time and place for seeking judicial review. The Director shall be bound by and incorporate the terms and conditions of the Council's decision in permits issued to the applicant or on approved plans.

Section 12. Subsection B of Section 23.76.058 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, is amended as follows:

23.78.058 Rules for specific deci-

#### B. Contract Rezones

- B. Contract Rezones

  1. When a property use and developmer agreement is required as a condition to a mendment of the Official Land Use Mathe ((ordinance rezoning the property she provide for acceptance of the agreement as shall not be passed by the Gouncil until agreement has been executed by the owner amendment shall not take effect until later of (1) the effective date of the ordina approving the map amendment and accept the property use and dayelopment agreement as specified in the ordinance or pursuar Section 1.04.020 or (2) the recording in King County Recorder a Office of the agament executed by the legal and benefice of the ordinance of the map amendment and accepting the map amendment and accepting the map amendment and accepting agreement. agreement.
  - 2. Amendment of ((Contract Re Amendment of ((Gontract Re Property Use and Davelopment Agree ((Agreements required)) Property understood as davelopment agreements recorded as tion to a map amendment((s)) may be ed by agreement between the owner City, provided ((the-amended agree that any such amendment shall be a by the Council.
  - a. A request to amend shall be ted to the Department of Plans Development and filed with the Ci Notice of a request to amend and on to comment shall be provided in ac with notice requirements of Section. B(1) or B(2), and B(3), and to the resord in the original rezone decision to those persons who were provided writtento those persons who were provided writtento those persons who were provided writtento these persons who were provided writtento the pro

b. The Director shall determine whether the requested amendment is major or minor.

the requested amendment is major or minor.

(1) Minor amendments. A minor amendment is one that is ((Amendments which are)) within the spirit and general purpose of the prior decision of the Council, is generally consistent with the uses and development at and and an amendments which in a spirit decision of the Council, is a generally consistent with the uses and development at and an adverse impacts that were not anticipated in the prior decision of the Council, and does not request any additional waivers or chanses in the waivers of bulk or off street parking and loading requirements other than those approved in the prior decision of the Council. If the Director determines that a proposed amendment is minor, the Director shall transmit to Council the request to amend, the Director determination that the request is minor, any comments received by the Director on the proposed amendment. A request to amend that is minor and that complies with the reconstruction on the amendment. A request to amend that is minor and that complies with the reconstruction of Chapter 23.34 may be approved by the Council by ordinance after receiving any additional advice ((which)) that it deems necessary. ((Written notice and an opportunity to comment shell be provided by the Council at least four teem (14) days prior to Council at least four teem (14) days prior to Council at least four teem (14) days prior to Council at least four teem (14) days prior to Council at least four teem (14) days prior to Council at least four teem (14) days prior to Council at least four teem (14) days prior to Council at least four teem of the requested amendment to persons who submitted written or oral comments on the original reconstruction. A mendments which in the judgment of the Gouncil represent a major departure of from the terms of the agreement))

(2) Major Amendments. Requests that are not minor are major. The Council shall not approve a major amendment to a property use and development agreement (shall not be approved)) until the Council has received a recommendation from the Hearing Examiner after a public hearing held as provided for rezones in Section 23.76.052. Hearing Examiner open record predecision hearing and recommendation.

Section 13. Subsection A, B and D of Section 23.76.060 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122054, are amended as follows:

23.76.060 Expiration of land use approvals - Extensions.

- A. Approvals Granted Under Title 24. Expiration of Council land use approvals granted under ((8MC)) Title 24, Zoning and Subdivisions, ((shall be)) are governed by the applicable provisions of ((6MC Title 24, Zoning and Subdivisions, and SMC)) Section 23.04.010, Transition to the Land Use Code.
- B. Contract Rezones, Council Conditional Uses and Public projects.
- Contract rezones, Council conditional uses and public projects approved under Title 23 ((ehall)) expire two (2) years from the effective date of approval unless:
- a. Within the two (2) year period, an application is filed for a Master Use Permit, which permit is subsequently issued; or
- b. Another time for expiration is specified in the Council's decision.
- 2. If a Master Use Permit is issued for the 2.11a Master Use Permit is issued for the contract rezone. Council conditional use or public project, the Council's approval of the contract rezone, Council conditional use or public project. ((shall-remain) remains in effect until the Master Use Permit expires pursuant to the provisions of Section 23.76.032, or until the time specified by the Council, whichever is longer.
- 3. When a contract rezone expires, the Director shall file a certificate of expiration with the City Clerk and a notation shall be placed on the Official Land Use Map showing the reversion to the former classification.

D. Extensions. The Council may extend the time limits on Type IV land use approvals for no more than two (2) years, upon an applicant's request for an extension filed with the City Clerk ((Gouncil)) at least thirty (30) days before the approval's expiration. The days before the approval's expiration. The Council may request a recommendation on the extension request from the Director, but the Hearing Examiner hearing and recommendation requirements of Section 23.76.052 do not apply. Notice for requests for extensions of Type IV land use decisions shall be provided pursuant to Sections 23.76.012 B(1) or B(2), and B(3).

1. The Council may not extend the time limits for a Type IV land use approval for a project that is not in conformance with applicable regulations, including land use and environmentally critical areas regulations, in effect at the time an extension is sought.

- 2. In deciding whether to grant a request for an extension, the Council shall consider:
- a. The reason or basis for the request for the extension and whether it is reasonable under the circumstances:
- b. Whether changed circumstances in the area support an extension:
- c. Whether additional time is reasonably necessary to comply with a condition of approval adopted by the Council that is required to be fulfilled prior to expiration of the land use approval.

Section 14. Subsection P of Section 23.84A.030 of the Seattle Municipal Code, which section was last amended by Ordinance 122311, is a mended as follows:

#### 23.84A.030 Definitions "P."

"Party of record" means any person ((; group, association or corporation that)) who files an appeal; a person granted party status through intervention; the City department making the decision or determination and any of its employees or agents; the owner(s) of the property subject to the quasi-judicial action; and the person who files an application for a permit or other type of development authorization that is the subject of the appeal.

Section 15. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the 17th day of September, 2007, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this 17th day of September, 2007.

#### NICK LACATA

President of the City Council.

Approved by me this 21st day of September, 2007.

GREGORY J. NICKELS,

2007

Filed by me this 27th day of September,

(Seal) JUDITH E. PIPPIN,

City Clerk.

ATTACHMENT A: Amended Exhibit 23.76.004A

Publication ordered by JUDITH PIPPIN,

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, October 2, 2007. 10/2(216021)